Tetrahedron Letters,Vol.25,No.26,pp 2735-2738,1984 oo40-4039/84 \$3.00 + .oo ©1984 Pergamon Press Ltd.

> **FORMATION OF 1,2-OIOXANES BY ELECTRON-TRANSFER PHOTOOXYGENATION OF 1,1-DISUBSTITUTED ETHYLENES Klaus Gollnick* and Albert Schnatterer Institut fijr Organische Chemie der Universitat, Karlstrasse 23, D-8000 MUnchen 2, Germany**

Electron-rich 1,1-diarylethylenes (la-e) afford 3,3,6,6-tetraaryll,2-dioxanes (<u>3a-e</u>) in high yields (>90%) when subjected to electron-
transfer photooxygenation in the presence of DCA. Whereas l,l-diphenyl**ethylene (If) and l,l-di(p-chlorophenyl)ethylene (lh) yield the l,Z-dioxanes 3f and 3h at 30% and less than 108, respectively, there is negligible (if any)7,2-dioxane formation with l,l-di(m-anisyl)ethylene (li). 1,2-Dioxane formation proceeds in a chain reaction (Scheme 1). N-Vinyl**carbazol (<u>lg</u>), however, yields the 1,2-dioxane <u>3g</u> via the cyclobutane derivative⁷ (Scheme 2).

Phenyl-substituted ethylenes such as If are reported to yield carbonyl compounds and oxiranes by 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA) sensitized electron-transfer photooxygenation in polar solventsl.

We have recently shown that, in a novel mode of DCA-sensitized electron-transferphotooxygenation, 1,1-di(p-anisyl)ethylene (1b) yields nearly quantitatively the 1,2-dioxane **derivative 3b2. Since the quantum yield of disappearance of lb is well above 2, a chain reac- tion mechanism according to the sequence of steps A through D of Scheme 1 was proposed 2. The dimeric radical cation (l-l)? should be more stable than the monomeric radical cation It, and since steps A and 0 should be favored by ethylenes carrying electron-donating substituents, a** study of 1,1-disubstituted ethylenes such as la-i at relatively high initial concentrations **(which favor step B over step E) seemed to be in order (Table 1).**

Ethylenes la-e absorb half a molecule of oxygen³. 1,2-Dioxanes 3a-e⁴ are formed in **yields exceeding 90% and are generally isolated in high yields6. Ketone (2) formation is thus either negligible (with la and le) or occurs to small extents (3-10%) with ethylenes lb-d. I-(p-Anisyll-1-phenylethylene (la) and 1-(p-anisyl)-1-(p-chlorophenyl)ethylene (Id) give rise to 1,2-diox&ies 3a and 3d, respectively, which represent cis/trans-mixtures 4b,e,F** . **Neither in the presence of oxygen nor under nitrogen were cyclobutanes or other dimerization products of la-e formed, even if under nitrogen the ethylenes were irradiated four+times longer than under oxygen.**

Quantum yields (ϕ) of disappearance of 1 were determined at initial concentrations of 0.025 M by comparing the oxygen-uptake rates of 1 with that of trans-stilbene at 0.025 M. **At this concentration, the quantum yield of disappearance of trans-stilbene is estimated from Foote's paper' to be about 0.4. For ethylenes la-e, Q decreases from about 15 to 3 indicating _ chain reactions to occur.**

Scheme 1

DCA + hv
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 DCA + $\frac{1}{(A)}$ DCA + $\frac{1}{(A)}$ DCA + $\frac{1}{(A)}$ DCA + $\frac{1}{(B)}$ 2 + $\frac{1}{(B)}$ 3 + $\frac{1}{(B)}$ 4 + $\frac{1}{(B)}$ 5 + <

a) 0₂-consumption per molecule of 1; b) quantum yield of disappearance of 1 at the **initial concentration of 1 = 0.025 M (see text)** ; **-** c) **determined spectroscopically ('H-NMR)** ; **d) isolated ; e) under decomposition** ; **f) cis/trans mixture (see text) ; g) + 13% of 1,1-diphenyloxirane** ; **h) quantum yield of disappearance of cyclobutane 7 _.**

$$
\frac{3}{4} + Pd - C/H_{2} \longrightarrow R^{1}R^{2}CCH_{2}CH_{2}CR^{1}R^{2} \longrightarrow H_{2}O R^{1}R^{2}C_{0}CR^{1}R^{2} \longrightarrow H_{2}O R^{1}R^{2}C=CH-CH=CR^{1}R^{2}
$$

l,l-diphenylethylene (<u>1</u>f) absorbs about 0.8 molecules of oxygen per molecule of <u>If</u>. The main product is ketone <u>2f</u> (57%) (+ 13% of 1,1-diphenyloxirane). However, 1,2-dioxane (<u>3f</u>) **Q formation is still appreciable (30%)". Under the experimental conditions applied, If can thus** still compete with 0_2 . for the monomeric radical cation \underline{If} as long as the concentration of **If does not become too low.**

Preliminary results, obtained with the comparatively electron-poor l,l-di(p-chlorophenyl)ethylene (lh) and 1,1-di(m-anisyl)ethylene (li) under similar reaction conditions, show that reaction step B can hardly compete with step E in case of lh (yielding less than 10% of **the corresponding 1,2-dioxane 3h according to - 'H-NMR analysis); in case of E, negligible (if any) 1,2-dioxane formation takes place'.**

N-Vinylcarbazole (lg) affords the 1,2-dioxane 3g¹⁰; there is no spectral evidence **that 3g represents a cis/trans-mixture. There is also no evidence for the appearance of ketone 29. However, some polymeric material is formed. Ledwith'lobserved that, with sensitizers such** benzophenone, <u>lg</u> cyclodimerized to cyclobutane 7 only when 0₂ was present. Mizuno¹² reported that DCA-sensitized photooxygenation of 7 yielded 1,2-dioxane 3g. In our hands, DCA-sensitized (2+2)-cycloaddition of 1g occurs very rapidly and quantitatively in the presence of 0₂ and - even faster - under N₂. Under the experimental conditions used for all the photooxygenation reactions³, more than $\frac{50}{8}$ of the starting 1g has reacted to 7 within one minute; after **90 seconds, when more than 95% of lg is transformed into 7, 0₂-uptake starts. After another 40 minutes,** 7 **is quantitatively transformed into 39. Obviously, electron transfer from lg to -** (1g-1g)⁺ (step I of Scheme 2) is much faster than addition of 0₂ to the dimeric radical cation (step C). Only when there is practically no lg present will the competing paths C and F **become important. Since the quantum yield of cyclobutane disappearance is 0.7, reaction path F** rather than C is likely to follow the production of <u>(lg-lg)t</u> from <u>/</u>.

Scheme 2

$$
{}^{1}DCA* \xrightarrow{\quad + \underline{1g}} DCA: + \underline{1g} \xrightarrow{\quad (B)} \xrightarrow{\quad (1g-1g) \vdots} \xrightarrow{\quad (C)} \xrightarrow{\quad (1g-1g)^{+}0_{2}} \xrightarrow{\quad (1g-1g)^{+
$$

Further studies on 1,2-dioxane formation by electron-transfer photooxygenation are presently carried out at our laboratory 13 .

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, Frankfurt am Main. **References and Footnotes**

- 1. J.Eriksen, C.S.Foote, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 102 (1980), 6083.
- 2. K.Gollnick, A.Schnatterer, Tetrahedron Lett. 25 (1984), 185.
- **3. For experimental conditions, see ref. 2.**
- **4. (a) Elemental analyses and spectroscopic data are in accord with the structures described;**
	- (b) 3a: precipitates from acetonitrile; washed with methanol and dried: 94% yield of iso-

lated colorless crystals; m.p. 133-144°C; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz): δ = 2.51 (s, broad, 4H); 3.66 (s, OCH₃, 3H) and 3.69 (s, OCH₃, 3H); 6.59-7.58 (m, 18 arom. H); 1:1-cis/trans-mixt. Recryst. (2x) from ethyl acetate/ethanol: m.p. 156-161°C; m.w.: 453 (calc.), 458 (osmometric in benzene); isomer with OCH₂-signal at 3.69 enriched. - (c) 3b: see ref. 2; ref. 5: m.p. 217-220°C (from tetrahydrofuran). - (d) 3c: precipitates from acetonitrile; 85% yield **of colorless crystals (from tetrahydrofuran): m.p. 216-217°C; 'H-NMR: 6 = 2.78 (s:** broad, 4H); 3.40 (s, 4 OCH₃); 6.69-7.71 (m, 16 arom. H). - (e) 3d: precipitates from aceto**nitrile; washed with methanol and dried: 77% yield of isolated colorless crystals; m.p.** 175-187°C; ¹H-NMR: δ = 2.51 (s, broad, 4H); 3.74 (s, OCH₃, 3H) and 3.76 (s, OCH₃, 3H); **6.64-7.56 (m, 16 arom. H); 1:1-cis/trans-mixt. Recryst. (2x) from ethyl acetate/ethanol:** m.p. 193-196°C; m.w.: 522 (calc.), 510 (osmometric in benzene); isomer with OCH₂-signal at **3.74 enriched. - (f) z: precipitates from acetonitrile; 88% yield of colorless crystals** (from tetrahydrofuran): m.p. 181-182°C; ¹H-NMR: δ = 2.48 (s, broad, 4H); 2.91 (s, 4 N(CH₃)₂, 24H); 6.59 (m, 8 arom. H); 6.69 (m, 8 arom. H). (3e is also formed with benzophenone as a sensitizer in acetonitrile, using a pyrex filter).

- 5. **i.K.Haynes, M.K.S.Probert, I.D.Wilmot, Aust.J.Chem. 31 (1978), 1737.**
- 6. Further proof of structures <u>3a</u> and <u>3d</u>: Catalytic hydrogenation yields cis/trans-mixtures of tetrahydrofuran derivatives <u>5a</u> and <u>5d</u>, respectively^{/b,C}. As with 3b^2 , the corresponding diols, 4a and 4d, were not isolated. Heating of 5a and 5d in acetic acid in the presence of **i2S04 yields mixtures of cis,cis-, trans,trans-, and cis,trans-substituted 1,3-butadienes 5a and g, respectively 7d,e** .
- 7. **(a) Elemental analyses and spectroscopic data are in accord with the structures described; (b) 5a: colorless crystals (from ethanol/ethyl acetate); - 'H-NMR: d = 2.59 (s, 4H); 3.73 (s,** 2 OCH₃); 6.69 (d, 4 arom. H); 7.04-7.41 (m, 14 arom. H). - (c) 5d: colorless crystals (from ethanol/ethyl acetate); ¹H-NMR: δ = 2.54 (s, 4H); 3.71 (s, 2 OC $\overline{H_3}$); 6.66-7.38 (m, 16 arom.H). (d) $\underline{6a}$: colorless crystals (from acetic acid); ¹H-NMR: δ = 3.77 (s, OCH₃) and 3.86 (s, OCH₃) **5.65-7.42 (m, 2 olef. H + 18 arom. H). - (e) @: yellowish-green crystals (from acetic acid)**; ¹H-NMR: δ = 3.73 (s, OCH₃) and 3.81 (s, OCH₃); 6.55-7.45 (m, 2 olef. H + 16 **arom. H).**
- 8. **(a) Elemental analysis and spectroscopic data are in accord with the structure described;** (b) <u>3T</u>: precipitates from acetonitrile; 30% yield of colorless crystals (from tetrahydro **furan): m.p. 247-248°C; ref. 5: m.p. 256-257.5"C; 'H-NMR: 6 = 2.57 (s, broad, 4H); 7.25 (m,** 20 arom. H). Catalytic hydrogenation yields diol 4f: m.p. 204-206°C; ref. 5: m.p. 205-209°C.
- 9. **G.Utschick, Diploma work, Univ. MUnchen.**
- **10. 39: 51% yield of colorless crystals (from ethanol/ethyl acetate): m.p. 168-170°C; ref. 12: m.p. 182-184°C; 'H-NMR: 2.23 (d, broad, 2H); 3.22 (t, broad, 2 H); 6.66 (d, broad, 2H); 7.10-8.13 (m, 16 arom. H).**
- **11. A.Ledwith, Acc.Chem.Res. 5 (1972), 133.**
- **12. K.Mizuno, K.Murakami, N.Kamiyama, Y.Dtsuji, J.Chem.Soc.,Chem.Comm. 1983. 462.**
- **13. We were just informed that S.L.Mattes and S.Farid have reported their unpublished results on TCA-sensitized 1,2-dioxane (3b,e and f) formation in Vol.6 of Organic Photochemistry (A.Padwa, ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983, p.233, not yet available to us. We are very grateful to Dr.Farid for supplying us with a reprint of his review article.** (Received in Germany 3 March 1984)